

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/099,797	03/15/2002	Richard A. Hynes	4002-2968	3276	
7590 10/21/2005			EXAMINER		
Woodard, Emhardt, Naughton, Moriarty and McNett			REIP, DAV	REIP, DAVID OWEN	
Bank One Cente	er/Tower	•	-		
Suite 3700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
111 Monument Circle			3733		
Idianapolis, IN	46204-5137				

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)		
HYNES, RICHARD A.		

		David O. Keip	3733	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE RE	PLY FILED <u>05 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
thi: pla a F	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on a application, applicant must timely file one of the follow ices the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance the periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🗌	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) 🛚	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or to the period of the pe	ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Esdancion	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 is of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f).		
have bee	n filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex	tension and the corresponding amoun	t of the fee. The appropr	iate extension fee
set forth i may redu	CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s n (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later ce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	r than three months after the mailing d	ate of the final rejection,	even if timely filed,
	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	a filed within two mont	ns of the date of
filir a N	ng the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDI</u>				
	ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
	They raise new issues that would require further co		DTE below);	
	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		oducina or cimplifuina	the issues for
(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in bef appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially r	eaucing or simplifying	the issues for
(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	eiected claims.	
(4)	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
4 🗀 TI	ne amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
==	oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
no	n-allowable claim(s).	_		
ho Th	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we the new or amended claims would be rejected is properties of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an e	explanation of
	aim(s) allowed: aim(s) objected to:			
	aim(s) objected to: aim(s) rejected: <u>8-11,41,42 and 46</u> .			
	aim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-7, 12-40, 44, 45	5, 47, 49, and 50.		
AFFIDA'	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
be	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an s not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	It before or on the date of filing a Note of the date	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> wit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. 🔲 Th en	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appo	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio			
	ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	
11. 🔲 T	he request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. 🔲 C	ther:		Dans	Olef
			DAVID O. RE	:IP
			PRIMARY EXA	MINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitations directed to the mounting bracket being "separate" from at least one of the cross supports/arms is both a new issue that would require further consideration and searching, as well as introducting new matter (i.e. "separate" connotes separable, which is not clearly supported in the specification.